



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Qceln
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,799	04/07/2000	Jeremy A. Levitan	1026-017/MMM127340.1	1559
41505	7590	08/10/2005	EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			SHAFER, RICKY D	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2872		16
DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/544,799	LEVITAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ricky D. Shafer	2872		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 17-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 11-15 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 16 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 04/07/2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/4/02 & 4/4/05.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II (claims 11-16) in the reply filed on 02/26/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has not shown that the subcombinations are usable together and have separate utility. This is not found persuasive because the criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentably distinct inventions is (1) the inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed, and (2) there must be a serious burden on the examiner. Note MPEP 803.

The restriction requirement set forth in the communication mailed on 04/23/2003 clearly demonstrates distinctness based on the claimed structural differences between the various inventions and burden between each of the patentably distinct inventions, as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter. The subcombinations are clearly disclosed of being capable of being usable together in a single combination as evidenced by Fig. 16. In addition, the subcombinations are clearly independent and distinct from each other, as noted by their mutually exclusive details, and the presence of such mutually exclusive details provides a prima facie showing that the subcombinations each have separate utility with their own separate details as clearly set forth in the communication mailed on 04/23/2003. Moreover, it is well known that micromechanical actuators have separate utility to control valves, pumps, switches, relays...etc. Note page 1, lines 9 to 19 of applicant's own specification.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-10 and 17-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 02/26/2004.

3. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

A). Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 16, line 2, the language “firs” should be changed to read --first--.

Appropriate correction is required.

B). The drawings are objected to because figures 1-15 shown be labeled “Prior Art”.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

C). The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract exceeds 150 words..

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

4. Claims 11-15 are allowed.

5. The following is an examiner’s statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a microelectrical mechanical actuator comprising a planar substrate, a first coil member having first end fixedly coupled to the substrate and a second end that is decoupled from the substrate, a second coil member having first end fixedly coupled to the substrate and a second end that is decoupled from the substrate, wherein the second ends of the first and second coil members are coupled together, and magnetic flux means having the limitations and arrangement as recited in claim 11, lines 9 to 14.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

6. The Examiner has considered all of the references submitted as part of the Information Disclosure Statement, but have not found any to be particularly relevant. If Applicant is aware of pertinent material in the references, he/she should so state in a response to this Office action. Applicant is reminded of section 2004, paragraph 13, of the MPEP.

It is desirable to avoid the submission of long lists of documents if it can be avoided. Eliminate clearly irrelevant and marginally pertinent cumulative information. If a long list is submitted, highlight those documents which have been specifically brought to applicant's attention and/or are known to be of most significance. See *Penn Yan Boats, Inc. v. Sea Lark Boats, Inc.*, 359 F. Supp. 948, 175 USPQ 260 (S.D. Fla. 1972), aff'd, 479 F.2d 1338, 178 USPQ 577 (5th Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 414 U.S. 874 (1974). But cf. *Molins PLC v. Textron Inc.*, 48 F.3d 1172, 33 USPQ2d 1823 (Fed. Cir. 1995).

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RDS

August 08, 2005

Ricky D. Shafer
RICKY D. SHAFER
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2872